	Gbtntats	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	X	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	V.	10 Cr. 336 (LAK)
5	PAUL TATE,	
6	Defendant.	
7	x	
8		New York, New York November 28, 2016
9		2:40 p.m.
10	Before:	
11	HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,	
12	11011.	
13		District Judge
14	APPEARANCES	
15	PREET BHARARA  United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York  BY: NIKETH VELAMOOR Assistant United States Attorney	
16		
17		
18	DAVID M. ZINN BETH A. STEWART Attorneys for Defendant	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Gbtntats (Case called) 1 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 2 3 I hope everybody has worked off the turkey. 4 Mr. Zinn. 5 Yes, your Honor. MR. ZINN: 6 THE COURT: OK. Have you and your client had the 7 presentence report for the necessary period and both read it? 8 MR. ZINN: We have, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: And Mr. Tate, have you yourself read the 10 presentence report? 11 THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: You may be seated. 13 It will be sealed and made available to counsel in the 14 event of an appeal. 15 Are there any unresolved objections to the presentence 16 report? 17 MR. ZINN: No, your Honor. We had a few minor clarifications which I think are 18 19 not objectionable to my knowledge. 20 THE COURT: Mr. Velamoor?

MR. VELAMOOR: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

21

22

23

24

25

I adopt the presentence report and the guideline computation and range that it contains.

I have received in relation to the sentencing a

24

25

MR. ZINN:

sentencing memorandum on behalf of the defendant and the 1 2 presentence report. Is there anything else of which I ought to 3 be aware? 4 MR. VELAMOOR: Nothing from the government, your 5 Honor. 6 MR. ZINN: No, your Honor. We're happy to submit on 7 the record, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: You're happy to? 9 MR. ZINN: Submit on the record before the Court. 10 THE COURT: I'm not following. You don't want to be 11 heard the issue of sentencing. 12 MR. ZINN: I am happy to be heard, your Honor, if you 13 have questions, but I'm also happy to submit on the papers. 14 I don't have much to add to what we have submitted to the Court. 15 THE COURT: I understand. 16 17 MR. ZINN: I can speak for a few minutes about 18 Mr. Tate, if that will be helpful to the Court. THE COURT: No. I'm familiar with the materials. 19 20 It is up to you. 21 MR. ZINN: Let me say a few words, your Honor. 22 Thank you. 23 THE COURT: All right.

about Mr. Tate personally. I hope this came across in the

I would like to talk a little bit just

papers and through the letters that were submitted to the Court, but he is a very modest, unassuming man. He lives a fairly straightforward life. He basically worked and took care of his family. He's married, been with his now wife for 17 years. He has two young children, a five-year-old and a seven-year-old. They we live a simple life on the Isle of Mann.

He is not a flamboyant guy. He's not the typical person you would expect to see before the Court, particularly in a gambling case. I think it was put well in the report that your Honor received that, but for him having taken a job at PokerStars he would not be before the Court.

Insofar as his job is concerned, your Honor, as is clear from the papers that were before the Court, he was a very minor participant in the offense. He's differently situated than the others that are before the Court in the sense that he is not a senior executive at one of these companies. He was not a founder of one of the companies, and, most importantly, not a decision maker.

He came into the company in 2006. He entered in basically a tech job. That is his background, with experience on the Internet, and then after a couple of years was assigned to deal with some U.S. processing issues. That's what brings him before the Court.

The PSR has recommended, and obviously we concur your

Honor, and you saw that in our submission, that the Court impose a noncustodial sentence of time served on Mr. Tate. That's within the guideline range. He has suffered already significant consequences, and this has been a very difficult process for him. Obviously he lost his job a couple of years ago. He has a record now, a felony record in the United States, that he will have to confront for the rest of his life. He's in his 40s now. This has had an impact on him and his family as well.

He came here voluntarily this year on his own, where there was no extradition available to the United States, because he wanted to put this behind him and he wanted to come before the Court and do that. That's why he's here. He's been here since July. We have had, as your Honor is aware, an ongoing dialogue with the U.S. Attorney's Office that culminated in his plea.

The only other point I would make, your Honor, on the request for the noncustodial sentence of time served is his status as a non-U.S. citizen puts him in a different position than others relative to sentencing. If he were incarcerated in the case, as we pointed out in the papers, there are restrictions on where he could serve time, and we do have a concern, your Honor, that if he is incarcerated that the process of exiting the United States could be very confusing and time consuming and difficult. He could be sort of in the

immigration limbo world for sometime that would cause him to be incarcerated for a period of time that might even be longer than any sentence the Court might impose. I hope the Court would take that into consideration in its deliberations about the case as well.

We submitted to the Court some statistics that reflect how other courts in this district have treated similarly situated defendants who have entered a plea to a 1955 and all of those courts have entered probationary sentences, and we would ask your Honor to enter a sentence of time served here.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. ZINN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Tate, you have a right to speak before your sentence.

Is there anything you would like to say.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. I very much regret the choices I made. It is wrong to violate the laws of another country, and there can be no excuses for doing so. I am aware of the seriousness of this.

I wish to sincerely apologize to the Court and to my family. I came to New York several months ago to address this matter, and I hope that the Court will consider my genuine remorse in considering my case.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: That is it, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Velamoor?

MR. VELAMOOR: Your Honor, unless the Court has questions, which I am, of course, happy to answer, the government believes a guideline sentence is appropriate.

THE COURT: I am just probably oddly curious, but curious nonetheless, as to understand why the United States could not have extradited Mr. Tate, which I imagine is wrapped up in what the legal status of the Isle of Mann is in the United Kingdom or its association with the United Kingdom. It has no bearing on what I am going to do here, but I am curious.

MR. VELAMOOR: That's correct, your Honor.

Our office explored the issue of whether or not U.K. or the Isle of Mann would extradite for the charges at issue in this case. We explored that issue with the Department of Justice in Washington and otherwise. It was made quite clear to us that for these offenses there would not be any kind of extradition of any defendants to the U.S. Therefore, I think for defendants abroad we've tried to work to obtain their surrender, which is what we were able to do in this case.

THE COURT: Do I understand correctly that the reason extradition was unavailable was because the charged offense was not within the treaty between the United States and the United Kingdom and not because of something having to do with the peculiar legal status of the Isle of Mann?

MR. VELAMOOR: Yes. That is my understanding. I must

say I was not personally involved in that in the office, but from what I have been told, yes, it was because of the nature of the offense.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for that.

Mr. Tate, please rise for the imposition of sentence.

I accept that you are entirely remorseful for this. Given the fact that you couldn't have been extradited for this offense, you deserve a world of credit for coming back here and facing the music as it were.

I see no point in a sentence of incarceration at all. In consequence, it is the judgment of this Court that you be committed to the custody of the Attorney General of the United States for a term of imprisonment of time served, that you forfeit to the United States the sum of \$119,000 on the terms and in the manner set forth in the consent preliminary order of forfeiture that was filed in this case on October 19, and you shall pay the mandatory special assessment of \$100.

I advise you that, to whatever extent you haven't waived it, you have the right to appeal from the judgment imposing this sentence. If you wish to appeal, you must file a written notice of appeal with the clerk of the district court no later than 14 days after the date on which judgment is entered, which could be as soon as tomorrow.

In the event you wish to appeal and you can't afford to pay the fees necessary to do so, you have the right to apply

for permission to appeal as a poor person. If such an application were granted, you would be permitted to appeal without payment of the fees, and if you couldn't afford a lawyer, a lawyer would be provided for you at government expense.

You may be seated.

Now, Mr. Velamoor, there may be some unresolved counts. If so, I am not aware of them. Would you remind me, please.

MR. VELAMOOR: Yes, there are some unresolved counts, and we would move to dismiss those counts.

THE COURT: That motion is granted.

In terms of the mechanics here, I assume, Mr. Tate is on bail, is that right?

MR. VELAMOOR: He is on bail. Yes. That's correct.

THE COURT: The terms of the bail are?

MR. VELAMOOR: The terms of the bail include a substantial cash deposit I believe of \$1 million.

MR. ZINN: That's correct, your Honor.

MR. VELAMOOR: We will obviously cooperate to have that money returned because my understanding is the defendant has paid the forfeiture amount already. So he's entitled to the return of his bail.

THE COURT: Is there any reason why I shouldn't on the record here now exonerate the bail?

MR. VELAMOOR: I don't believe there is any reason. 1 Ι 2 also think that should include the return of his passport so 3 that he can return back to the Isle of Mann. THE COURT: Bail is terminated. The bond is 4 5 exonerated. And Mr. Tate's passport shall be returned to him 6 as promptly as possible. 7 Anything else? 8 MR. VELAMOOR: Nothing further from the government, 9 your Honor. 10 Thank you. THE COURT: Counsel? 11 12 MR. ZINN: No, your Honor. Thank you, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Have a good trip back, 14 Mr. Tate. 15 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor. 16 (Adjourned) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25